IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

INNOVUS PRIME LLC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

PANASONIC CORPORATION, PANASONIC CORPORATION OF AMERICA.,

Defendants.

No. 12-CV-00660 RMW

ORDER REGARDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE

E-FILED on <u>9/20/12</u>

On August 31, 2012, the court held a hearing on plaintiff's Rule 56(d) motion. The court continued the September 21, 2012 hearing on Panasonic's motion for summary judgment and ordered the parties to meet and confer regarding the scheduling of discovery, as well as further briefing and a hearing on defendant's summary judgment motion. The parties have been unable to reach an agreement.

It is hereby ordered that plaintiff may take the deposition of the two witnesses who submitted declarations in support of Panasonic's motion for summary judgment, Mr. Watanabe and Mr. Peters. The witnesses may appear voluntarily at any location agreed upon by the parties, or their depositions may be taken pursuant to the Hague Convention. Panasonic shall produce documents in its possession, to the extent they exist, reflecting communications between Philips and Panasonic

ORDER REGARDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE—No. 12-00660 RMW

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

27

28

during the negotiation of the 1982 and 2007 Agreements regarding the scope of the non-assert and
correspondence between Panasonic and Philips regarding the declarations submitted in support of
the summary judgment motion. Plaintiff may request similar documents from Phillips. Panasonic
shall produce the documents in its possession at least two weeks prior to the taking of either
deposition.

Given that plaintiff may need to proceed by way of the Hague Convention, and that all other discovery is currently stayed, the court sees little prejudice in delaying the close of summary judgment-related discovery as requested by plaintiff. Accordingly, the court orders the following schedule:

April 30, 2013: Close of Summary Judgment-related discovery

May 10, 2013: Last day for defendants to re-file MSJ

May 24, 2013: Last day for plaintiff's opposition

May 31, 2013: Last day for defendants' reply

Date TBD: MSJ Hearing

In the event that Panasonic can facilitate the discovery of materials requested from Phillips or otherwise obviate the need to use the Hague Convention, the court will consider a motion to expedite this schedule.

It is so ordered.

DATED:____9/20/12__

Konald M. Whyte

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge